

**CORRIGENDUM No: 1**

**to the**

**CONTRACT NOTICE**

**Publication reference: EuropeAid/140115/DH/SER/BA**

Tender no.: EC/BiH/TEN/19/003

**Subject: Capacity building of Governments to include CSOs into the decision-making**

**Location: Bosnia and Herzegovina**

The contract notice is corrected or modified as follows:

A. Point No 21.3)

Instead of:

- 3) **Technical capacity of candidate** (based on items 5 and 6 of the application form).  
The reference period which will be taken into account will be the last five years from submission deadline.

The candidate has provided services, during the reference period mentioned above, under at least two (2) contracts, each with a budget of at least EUR 1 000 000, each contract covering at least three (3) out of six (6) results specified in point 7 above.

Read:

- 3) **Technical capacity of candidate** (based on items 5 and 6 of the application form).  
The reference period which will be taken into account will be the last five years from submission deadline.

The candidate has provided services, during the reference period mentioned above, under at least two (2) contracts, each with a budget of at least EUR 1 000 000, each contract covering at least three (3) out of six (6) results specified in point 7 above. In case a candidate has implemented the contract in a consortium, proportion carried out by the candidate must be at least 60% (minimum EUR 600 000).

B. Point No 21.3)

Instead of:

If more than 8 eligible candidates meet the above selection criteria, the relative strengths and weaknesses of the applications of these candidates must be re-examined to identify the eight best candidates. The only additional comparative criteria that will be taken into consideration during this re-examination, in the order that they appear below, are:

- The highest number of projects in criteria 3.
- The highest value of the project in criteria 3.

Read:

If more than 8 eligible candidates meet the above selection criteria, the relative strengths and weaknesses of the applications of these candidates must be reexamined to identify the eight best candidates. The only additional comparative criteria that will be taken into consideration during this re-examination, in order that they appear below, are:

- a. The highest number of contracts in criteria 21.3;
- b. In case of competition between two or more candidates at the end of the list having the same number of eligible contracts after re-examination according to the first additional comparative criterion a), the latter candidates will be ranked in descending order according to the value of the contracts (proportion carried out by candidate) satisfying the above technical capacity criterion 21.3.

All other terms and conditions of the contract notice remain unchanged. The above alterations and/or corrections to the contract notice are integral part of the contract notice.